



# Enhanced Production of Extracellular Alkaline Protease by *Bacillus cereus* GVK21 by Optimized formulations

Keshavamurthy. M<sup>1</sup>, Vishwanatha. T<sup>2</sup>, Suresh Kumar M<sup>1</sup>,  
Subhaschandra M Gaddad<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Post Graduate Studies and Research in Microbiology, Gulbarga University, Jnana Ganga, Kalaburagi – 585 106, Karnataka, INDIA.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology, Maharani's Science College for Women, Palace Road, Bengaluru – 560001, Karnataka, INDIA

Received: 9 Oct 2018 / Accepted: 12 Nov 2018 / Published online: 1 April 2019

\*Corresponding Author Email: [smgaddad@gmail.com](mailto:smgaddad@gmail.com)

## Abstract

*Bacillus cereus* GVK21, isolated in our laboratory, is a potent producer of alkaline protease. In the present study optimization of the process and nutritional parameters is reported. Response Surface Methodology (RSM) based Box-Behnken design (BBD) was employed for the optimization of various nutritional factors such as carbon and nitrogen sources and physical parameters like incubation time, pH, temperature, inoculum size and age, agitation rate were optimized for the maximum yield of protease under submerged fermentation. The Maximum of 912 U/mL protease production was observed at 48 h of incubation, pH 9.0, 140 rpm shaking and a temperature of 40°C. Thus, with above selected process parameters the best carbon and nitrogen sources for *B.cereus* was found to be molasses 0.75% and Peptone 1.00%. 3D plots were generated to evaluate the damages in the response surface and to understand the relationship between the culture conditions and protease yield. The statistical optimization by RSM resulted in significant increase by about two-fold in the protease yield. The present study shows that protease production by *B.cereus* GVK21 is greatly influenced by cultural conditions and media constituents. This study indicates that the strain is capable to producing maximum levels of alkaline protease in a low-cost medium containing molasses and peptone as major carbon and nitrogen sources and can be exploited for industrial production of alkaline protease.

## Keywords

Optimization, alkaline Protease, *Bacillus cereus*, Response surface methodology, culture conditions, submerged fermentation

\*\*\*\*\*

## INTRODUCTION

Proteases are an important class of industrial enzymes and account for 60% of total global enzyme sales and represent one of the three largest groups of industrial enzymes (Sharma *et al.*, 2017). Proteases are extensively exploited commercially in

food, detergent, leather and pharmaceutical industries (Ningthoujam *et al.*, 2009). Microorganisms are extensively used in the industrial production of proteases. *Bacillus* was the first to be used in the commercial production of protease in 1952 (Binod *et al.*, 2013). Several proteases have

been produced from many *Bacillus* species (Rao *et al.*, 1998). *Bacillus* species like *B. subtilis* (Babe and Schmidt, 1998), *B. licheniformis* (Mabrouk *et al.*, 1999), *B. sphaericus* (Singh *et al.*, 1999), *B. proteolyticus* (Bhaskar *et al.*, 2007), *B. cereus* (Doddapaneni *et al.*, 2009; Bajaj *et al.*, 2013) and *B. megaterium* (Rajkumar *et al.*, 2010) have been reported for protease production. Other bacteria such as *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Kalaarasi and Sunitha, 2009), *P. aeruginosa* (Raj *et al.*, 2012), *Vibrio ertschikovii* (Jellouli *et al.*, 2009), *V. alginolyticus* (Shanthakumari *et al.*, 2010) are also reported for protease production.

The production of proteases by microorganisms is influenced by several factors, such as ionic strength, temperature and mechanical handling. Media composition plays a significant role in enzyme production by microorganisms (Dorcas and Pindi, 2016). In order to obtain high and commercially viable yields of protease, it is essential to optimize fermentation conditions (Sharma *et al.*, 2015). No defined medium has been established for the best production of alkaline proteases from different microbial sources. Each organism has its own special conditions for maximum enzyme production (Schmidt, 2005; Sharma *et al.*, 2017).

Media rich in nitrogen sources, such as casein, gelatin, soybean meal, corn steep liquor, distiller's solubles, brewer's yeast, and carbohydrate sources such as starch or lactose are generally used for protease production (Blieva *et al.*, 2003). However, higher carbohydrate concentrations repress enzyme production (Mehta *et al.*, 2006).

Though a variety of microorganisms are used for protease production, *Bacillus* sp. are the most predominant and an ideal source for enzyme production as they grow rapidly and survive under harsh environmental conditions (Azlina and Norazila, 2013). Earlier researchers often used to perform one-factor-at-a time experiments, which vary only one factor at a time while keeping other variables fixed. However, statistically designed experiments that vary several factors simultaneously are more efficient when studying two or more factors. The conventional way of optimization has been done by monitoring the influence of one-factor-at-a-time approach keeping the other parameters constant. The major drawback of one-factor-at-a-time is that it is time consuming and production cost becomes expensive. To overcome these difficulties a statistically designed optimization study is helpful in determining the effects and interactions of fermentation variables (Govarthanam *et al.*, 2014). The application of statistically designed experiment

will helpful in the reduction of production cost, improvement of product yield etc. Thus, a statistically designed optimization is the need of the hour. In recent years Response Surface Methodology (RSM) is widely being used for optimization studies of various Biotechnological and industrial processes. The present investigation reports the enhanced production of alkaline protease by *B. cereus* GVK21 (KY659318) by optimizing process parameters.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

### 2.1 Microorganism:

*Bacillus cereus* GVK 21 was isolated in our laboratory from soil samples collected in from different locations within the Hutti gold mines, Raichur district (16° 11' 45" North Latitude and 76° 38' 31" East Longitude), Karnataka, India (Keshavamurthy *et al.*, 2018). The culture was maintained on nutrient agar slants.

### 2.2 Fermentation Medium and enzyme production:

Protease production was carried out using production medium [g/L: Glucose – 5.0; casein- 5.0; Yeast extract – 5.0; MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O - 0.02 and KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> - 0.05 pH 9] (Pant *et al.*, 2015). One mL of fresh bacterial inoculum was added in to 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask containing 100 mL of production medium. The flasks were placed in a rotary shaker incubator at 140 rpm at 37° C for 3-4 days. An aliquot of the culture supernatant was collected at regular intervals of 12 hand assayed for protease activity (Josephine *et al.*, 2012).

### 2.3 Optimization of medium components and culture conditions for Protease Production:

#### 2.3.1 One Variable at a Time Approach (OVAT)

Production of protease by *Bacillus cereus* GVK21 was carried out in basal medium with different combinations of carbon and nitrogen sources (1% w/v) and 1% inoculum size at 37 °C for 72 h in a rotary shaker (120 rpm). The initial pH of the medium was adjusted to 7.0. Parametric optimization was performed with respect to pH (7 to 10), incubation period (24 to 120 h), temperature (25 to 50°C), agitation rate (100 to 200 rpm), inoculum size (0.5 to 3 mL) and inoculum age (6 to 30 h) were studied by using various levels of the test parameter and keeping the other parameters constant. The bacterium was grown in the production medium supplemented with different carbon sources (1% w/v) such as dextrose, fructose, glucose, lactose, mannose, maltose and molasses, and nitrogen sources (1% w/v) like peptone, yeast extract, beef extract, ammonium chloride and ammonium sulphate. At the end of the fermentation duration, the fermentation broth was centrifuged at 10,000

rpm for 5 min. The supernatant obtained by the centrifugation was used for measuring the protease activity. The un-inoculated flasks served as controls. All the experiments were done in triplicates and the average of enzyme activity was taken for statistical analysis to know the significance of each factor.

### 2.3.2 Statistical Optimization

Response surface methodology is a well-accepted statistical technique able to design and optimize the experimental process that involves choosing the optimal experimental design and estimate the effect of the several factors independently and also the interactions simultaneously. Response surface methodology combined with BBD was established using Design Expert software (Version 11.0, Stat-Ease Inc., Minneapolis, USA) to analyze and plot the response surface graphs. Four factors, namely, pH, incubation period, molasses and peptone, were optimized for enhanced protease production using the isolate *B.cereus* GVK21. Based on Box-Behnken design (BBD), the factors were analysed at two levels: -1, for low level, and +1, for high level. A total of twenty-nine (29) runs were performed to optimize the process parameters, and experiments were performed according to the experimental design matrix. The results were evaluated by applying the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ), analysis of variance (ANOVA) and response plots. Employing RSM, the most widely used second-order polynomial equation was developed to fit the experimental results and identify the relevant model terms:

$$Y = b_0 + \sum b_i X_i + \sum b_{ij} X_i X_j + \sum b_{ii} X_i^2$$

where  $Y$  is the predicted response;  $b_0$ ,  $b_i$ , and  $b_{ij}$  are constant regression coefficients of the model; and  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  represent independent variables. The experimental design helps in investigating linear, quadratic and cross product effects of these factors and also centre points for replication (Govarthan et al., 2015). ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) – a statistical analysis was performed where the data obtained in the present study were expressed as Mean  $\pm$  SD and was further analyzed using one-way ANOVA test at 5% level of significance using IBM SPSS (20) version software.

### 2.4 Protease assay:

The protease activity of the crude enzyme was determined by the modified method of Joo et al., (2002) briefly; 0.5 mL of Culture filter Supernatant (CFS) was added to 0.5 mL of 1% casein (as a substrate) in 0.1 M Tris-HCl (pH 9.0) and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 3 mL of 10% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid (TCA). The solution was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 min. To the 3 mL of

the clear supernatant, 5 mL 0.4 M sodium bicarbonate solution and 0.5 mL of Folin Ciocalteu reagent (FCR) were added, mixed thoroughly and incubated for 30 min at room temperature, in dark. The optical density was measured using a UV-VIS spectrophotometer (ELICO SL - 159) at 660 nm against the enzyme blank. The amount of the released aromatic amino acids was calculated using tyrosine standard.

One unit of protease is defined as the amount of the enzyme required to release 1 $\mu$ g of tyrosine per mL per min and was calculated according to the formula of Pant et al., (2015).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Protease production is an inherent capacity of all microorganisms; and a large number of bacterial species are known to produce alkaline proteases (Lakshmi et al., 2014). Among various bacteria, the *Bacillus* species are most significant and specific-producers of alkaline proteases (Rajkumar et al., 2010; Bajaj et al., 2013).

A potent protease producing bacterium GVK21 was isolated in our laboratory and was identified as *B. cereus* GVK21 (Keshavamurthy et al., 2018). In the preliminary studies, the bacterium produced 136 U/mL of protease activity in 24 hours.

With respect to the effect of pH on protease production, increase in pH of the medium up to pH 9.0 increased the protease production to a maximum of 286.5 U/mL, then after the enzyme activity steadily decreased (Fig.1). The pH of culture affects enzymatic processes and transportation of various components across the cell membrane (Sharma et al., 2017). However, the molecular basis of pH affecting bacterial metabolism in culture broth is not well understood. Since proton motive force in chemiosmosis is affected by the medium pH value, it is possible that under optimum pH range, the relative metabolic efficiency is high (Singh et al., 2010). Dodia et al., (2006) reported that the optimum pH for growth of bacteria was 9.0 for the majority of the isolates, while the optimum pH with regard to enzyme secretion varied between pH 8.0 - 10.0. A pH of 9 has been reported as optimal for protease production by *Bacillus* sp. (Prakasham et al., 2006) and *Bacillus* sp. strain APP1 (Chu, 2007), which is similar our observation.

The temperature affects growth rates of microorganisms, regulates the synthesis of the enzyme and also the enzyme production by changing the properties of the cell wall (Radhika Pilli and Siddalingeshwara, 2016). The highest protease activity was detected at 40°C (346 U/ml); however,

substantial activity was observed at 45°C (329.5 U/ml) and 50°C (313 U/ml) as well, as shown in Fig 2. The optimum temperature requirement reported for alkaline protease production by different microorganisms differs widely. The optimum temperature for protease production by *B. cereus* has been reported to be 30°C (Kebabci and Cihangir,

2011). A temperature of 37°C has been reported as optimal temperature for protease production by *B. aquimaris* VITP4 (Shivanand and Jayaraman, 2009). In contrast Darani *et al.*, (2008) and Seifzadeh *et al.*, (2008) reported a temperature of 40°C as optimum for the production of protease by *Bacillus* sp. and *B. licheniformis* GUS1 respectively.




Fig. 1: Effect of different pH on Protease production.




Fig. 2: Effect of different temperature on protease production.




Fig. 3: Effect of incubation period on protease production.




Fig. 4: Effect of inoculum concentration on protease production.




Fig. 5: Effect of agitation speed on protease production.




Fig. 6: Effect of inoculum age on protease production.




Fig.7: Effect of different carbon sources on protease production.




Fig. 8: Effect of different nitrogen sources on protease production.





Fig. 9: Influence of different concentration of molasses on protease production.



**Fig.10: Influence of different concentration of peptone on protease production.**

Incubation period affects the enzyme production significantly and it varies from 24 h to a week depending upon type of microorganism and other culture conditions such as inoculum size, metabolic state of cell, pH and temperature. The maximum protease production was observed at 48 h (403 U/mL), later on the activity decreased (Fig 3). Santong *et al.*, (2008) reported that *Bacillus* sp. BA40 produced 1.158 U/mL protease activities, *B. licheniformis* LBBL-11 showed 18.4 U/mL at 48 hours (Olajuyigbe and Ajele, 2008).

Parameters such as incubation period, agitation speed, inoculum concentration and inoculum age, play important role in the production of the enzyme. The importance of inoculum size on microbial fermentation process is widely accepted. Out of five inoculum size tested, 1.5 ml was found to be the most suitable for high production of protease by *B.cereus* GVK21 in submerged fermentation at 48 hrs of fermentation (Fig. 4), thereafter no appreciable change in production of protease with high inoculum size could be observed. An agitation 140 rpm (Fig: 5) and 12 hrs of inoculums age (Fig: 6) are found to be optimum for the maximum protease production by *B.cereus* GVK21.

The production of enzymes by microorganisms is strongly influenced by the composition of the medium. Carbon and nitrogen sources are also important factors for the production of protease. Molasses and peptone resulted in the highest protease activity (513 U/mL and 606.5 U/mL per min, respectively, while the least enzyme activity was observed with maltose and mannose (Fig. 7) and

ammonium chloride (Fig.8). Different concentrations of carbon (Molasses) and nitrogen (peptone) were examined for enzyme production, 0.5 g of Molasses (Fig: 9) as carbon source and 0.75 g of peptone (Fig:10) as nitrogen source have yielded maximum protease. Other studies on *B.cereus* reported that an incubation period of 72 h, pH 9.0 at 37° C and fructose and beef extract as the carbon and nitrogen sources, respectively, resulted in the highest production of protease (Sreedevi *et al.*, 2017). Dorcas and Pindi (2016) reported that the optimum pH, temperature and incubation period and agitation rate for protease production by *B.cereus* were pH 7 at 37°C for 72 h at 150 rpm and glucose was found to be an important media component. Thus the Protease yields vary considerably with temperature and pH, which may be attributed to other components of the medium and their combined influence on the metabolism of the bacterial species (Maghsoodi *et al.*, 2013). *B. cereus* GVK21 yielded 606.5 U/mL of protease at optimum conditions, which is better than many reports, and almost similar to the one recently reported by Mehakbaweja *et al.*, (2018).

In recent years the application of modern statistical models was widely increased to optimize the physicochemical components of the cultural conditions in the field of industrial biotechnology due to its universal applicability and suitability (Govarthanan *et al.*, 2014). The BBD was applied to identify the optimal conditions for the enhanced production of the protease production. The results of experimental design are presented in Table 1. The



ANOVA of the quadratic regression model exhibits that it was a highly significant model, as evident from the Fisher's F test with a very low probability value (F value = 14.98) (Table 2). The model F value of 14.28 implies that the model was significant. The values of 'Prob 'P' (0.0001) indicate that the term of the model

was significant. The predicted  $R^2$  (0.6157) and adjusted  $R^2$  (0.8710) values for protease production were in reasonable agreement with the value of  $R^2$  (0.9320), which is closer to 1.0, indicating the better fitness of the model in the experimental data.

**Table 1 Results of Box–Behnken design for the variables and the experimentally observed responses**

| Run | pH | Incubation period | Molasses (%) | Peptone (%) | Protease activity (U/mL) |
|-----|----|-------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| 1   | 6  | 48                | 1.00         | 1.00        | 512                      |
| 2   | 8  | 72                | 3.00         | 1.50        | 519                      |
| 3   | 9  | 48                | 2.00         | 1.50        | 584                      |
| 4   | 9  | 48                | 1.00         | 3.00        | 634                      |
| 5   | 9  | 96                | 3.00         | 2.00        | 812                      |
| 6   | 7  | 48                | 2.00         | 2.00        | 631                      |
| 7   | 9  | 36                | 1.00         | 3.00        | 658                      |
| 8   | 9  | 36                | 3.00         | 1.00        | 564                      |
| 9   | 9  | 72                | 1.00         | 2.00        | 592                      |
| 10  | 10 | 60                | 2.00         | 2.50        | 612                      |
| 11  | 9  | 60                | 1.00         | 1.00        | 581                      |
| 12  | 6  | 96                | 1.00         | 2.00        | 493                      |
| 13  | 7  | 48                | 5.00         | 3.00        | 437                      |
| 14  | 7  | 48                | 2.00         | 2.00        | 472                      |
| 15  | 9  | 72                | 4.00         | 2.50        | 798                      |
| 16  | 9  | 72                | 1.00         | 3.00        | 721                      |
| 17  | 9  | 48                | 1.00         | 3.00        | 653                      |
| 18  | 9  | 48                | 5.00         | 2.00        | 981                      |
| 19  | 7  | 24                | 4.00         | 1.50        | 489                      |
| 20  | 10 | 36                | 2.00         | 2.00        | 485                      |
| 21  | 9  | 48                | 3.00         | 2.50        | 898                      |
| 22  | 7  | 48                | 4.00         | 1.00        | 531                      |
| 23  | 9  | 36                | 2.00         | 2.00        | 844                      |
| 24  | 8  | 48                | 1.00         | 1.00        | 584                      |
| 25  | 9  | 48                | 0.75         | 1.00        | 912                      |
| 26  | 9  | 24                | 2.00         | 2.00        | 664                      |
| 27  | 10 | 48                | 5.00         | 2.50        | 593                      |
| 28  | 6  | 36                | 3.00         | 2.00        | 485                      |
| 29  | 9  | 72                | 1.00         | 1.00        | 682                      |

**Table 2: Results of ANOVA obtained for the protease production by *Bacillus cereus* GVK21 for designed optimization experiment**


| Source            | Sum of squares | Df | Mean square | F value    | P value prob >F |
|-------------------|----------------|----|-------------|------------|-----------------|
| Model             | 6.877E+ 004    | 14 | 53830.12    | 14.98      | <0.0001         |
| A—pH              | 3.00           | 1  | 3.00        | 8.578E-003 | 0.9672          |
| B—incubation time | 11840.06       | 1  | 11840.08    | 3.39       | 0.0798          |
| C—molasses        | 468.03         | 1  | 468.03      | 0.14       | 0.6303          |
| D—peptone         | 4393.23        | 1  | 4393.23     | 1.12       | 0.2324          |
| AB                | 9.00           | 1  | 9.00        | 2.600E-003 | 0.8100          |
| AC                | 621.00         | 1  | 621.00      | 0.18       | 0.6640          |
| AD                | 63.00          | 1  | 63.00       | 0.019      | 0.8146          |




| Source         | Sum of squares | Df | Mean square | F value | P value prob >F |
|----------------|----------------|----|-------------|---------|-----------------|
| BC             | 746.21         | 1  | 746.21      | 0.21    | 0.5540          |
| BD             | 255981.20      | 1  | 255981.20   | 7.38    | 0.0121          |
| CD             | 23526.00       | 1  | 23526.00    | 6.73    | 0.0201          |
| A <sup>2</sup> | 5.445E+005     | 1  | 5.445E+005  | 157.00  | <0.0001         |
| B <sup>2</sup> | 1.853E+004     | 1  | 1.853E+004  | 54.10   | <0.0001         |
| C <sup>2</sup> | 1.077E+005     | 1  | 1.077E+005  | 30.80   | <0.0001         |
| D <sup>2</sup> | 1.185E+005     | 1  | 1.185E+005  | 31.46   | <0.0001         |
| Residual       | 48298.38       | 14 | 3351.68     | —       | —               |
| Lack of fit    | 48039.02       | 10 | 4794.98     | 75.67   | 0.0003          |
| Pure error     | 248.20         | 4  | 62.42       | —       | —               |
| Core total     | 7.980E+005     | 28 | —           | —       | —               |

Figure 11 shows the 3-D plot graphical representations of RSM. From the results it can be inferred that there was a significant relation of pH, incubation time, molasses and peptone concentrations for protease production. The optimum levels of the variables were obtained by using Box-Behnken design. The model predicted a maximum protease activity of 912 U/mL at 48h of incubation period with molasses of 1.0%, peptone of 1.50%, pH 9 and temperature 40°C. The results obtained are agreement with the earlier work using *Bacillus* sp. SKK11 showing protease activity of 821

U/mL (Govarthanan *et al.*, 2014). The predicted model values were in good agreement with the values measured in these experiments, thus mitigating the validity of the response model and the necessity for optimal conditions. The 3D plots highlighted the roles played by the variables in the production of protease. Optimization of fermentation conditions using RSM for the production of protease showed the progress in the rate of production, reduction in the overall cost of production.





**Fig. 11** Response surface plots of combined effects of two variables on the production of protease

### CONCLUSION:

The bacterium, *B. cereus* GVK21 produced a high level of protease under the physiological fermentation factors such as the incubation time (48 h), pH (9), temperature (40°C), the inoculum level (1.5 %), inoculum age (12 h), and the agitation rate (140 rpm). The results of the study show that this isolate can be further exploited for commercial production of protease.

### REFERENCES

1. Azlina IN, Norazila Y. Thermostable alkaline serine protease from thermophilic *Bacillus* species. *Int. Res. J. Biol. Sci.* 2013; 2:29-33.
2. Babe L, Schmidt B. Purification and biochemical analysis of WprA, a 52-kDa serine protease secreted by *B. subtilis* as an active complex with its 23-kDa propeptide. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Protein Structure and Molecular Enzymology.* 1998; 1386:211-9
3. Bajaj BK, Sharma N, Singh S. Enhanced production of fibrinolytic protease from *Bacillus cereus* NS-2 using cotton seed cake as nitrogen source. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology.* 2013; 2:204-9.
4. Bhaskar N, Sudeepa ES, Rashmi HN, Selvi AT. Partial purification and characterization of protease of *Bacillus proteolyticus* CFR3001 isolated from fish processing waste and its antibacterial activities. *Bioresource Technology.* 2007 Oct 1;98(14):2758-64.
5. Binod P, Palkhiwala P, Gaikawari R, Nampoothiri KM, Duggal A, Dey K, Pandey A. Industrial Enzymes- Present status and future perspectives for India..
6. Blieva RK, Safuani ZE, Isakbaeva ZA. Effect of various sources of nitrogen and carbon on the biosynthesis of proteolytic enzymes in a culture of *Aspergillus awamori* 21/96. *Applied Biochemistry and Microbiology.* 2003 Mar 1;39(2):188-91.
7. Chu WH. Optimization of extracellular alkaline protease production from species of *Bacillus*. *Journal of industrial microbiology & biotechnology.* 2007 Mar 1;34(3):241-5.
8. Doddapaneni KK, Tatineni R, Vellanki RN, Rachcha S, Anabrolu N, Narakuti V, Mangamoori LN. Purification and characterization of a solvent and detergent-stable novel protease from *Bacillus cereus*. *Microbiological Research.* 2009 Jan 1;164(4):383-90.
9. Dodia MS, Joshi RH, Patel RK, Singh SP. Characterization and stability of extracellular alkaline proteases from halophilic and alkaliphilic bacteria isolated from saline habitat of coastal Gujarat, India. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology.* 2006 Sep;37(3):276-82.
10. Dorcas K, Pindi PK. Optimization of protease production from *Bacillus cereus*. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 2016;5(6):470-8.
11. Govarthanam M, Park SH, Kim JW, Lee KJ, Cho M, Kamala-Kannan S, Oh BT. Statistical optimization of alkaline protease production from brackish environment *Bacillus* sp. SKK11 by SSF using horse gram husk. *Prep Biochem Biotechnology.* 2014 44:119-131
12. Jellouli K, Bougatef A, Manni L, Agrebi R, Siala R, Younes I, Nasri M. Molecular and biochemical characterization of an extracellular serine-protease from *Vibrio metschnikovii* J1. *Journal of industrial microbiology & biotechnology.* 2009 Jul 1;36(7):939-48.
13. Joo HS, Kumar CG, Park GC, Kim KT, Paik SR, Chang CS. Optimization of the production of an extracellular alkaline protease from *Bacillus horikoshii*. *Process Biochemistry.* 2002 Oct 1;38(2):155-9.
14. Josephine FS, Ramya VS, Devi N, Ganapa SB, Vishwanatha T. Isolation, production and characterization of protease from *Bacillus* Sp isolated from soil sample. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology Research.* 2017 Mar 31;2(1):163-8.
15. Kalaarasi K, Sunitha PU. Optimization of alkaline protease production from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolated from meat waste contaminated soil. *African Journal of Biotechnology.* 2009;8(24).

16. Kebabci O, Cihangir N. Full Length Research Paper Isolation of protease producing novel *Bacillus cereus* and detection of optimal conditions. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2011;10(7):1160-4.
17. Keshavamurthy M, Vishwanatha T, Suresh Kumar M and Subhaschandra M Gaddad. Enhanced production of alkaline protease from novel bacterium *Bacillus cereus* GVK21 under submerged fermentation. Biosci. Biotech. Res. Comm. 2018;11(3): 1-8.
18. Khosravi-Darani K, Falahatpishe HR, Jalali M. Alkaline protease production on date waste by an alkalophilic *Bacillus* sp. 2-5 isolated from soil. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2008;7(10).
19. Lakshmi BK, Sri PR, Devi KA, Hemalatha KP. Media optimization of protease production by *Bacillus licheniformis* and partial characterization of Alkaline protease. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci. 2014;3(5):650-9.
20. Mabrouk SS, Hashem AM, El-Shayeb NM, Ismail AM, Abdel-Fattah AF. Optimization of alkaline protease productivity by *Bacillus licheniformis* ATCC 21415. Bioresource Technology. 1999 Aug 1;69(2):155-9.
21. Maghsoudi V, Kazemi A, Nahid P, Yaghmaei S, Sabzevari MA. Alkaline protease production by immobilized cells using *B. licheniformis*. Scientia Iranica. 2013 Jun 1;20(3):607-10.
22. Mehta VJ, Thumar JT, Singh SP. Production of alkaline protease from an alkaliphilic actinomycete. Bioresource technology. 2006 Sep 1;97(14):1650-4.
23. Ningthoujam DS, Kshetri P, Sanasam S, Nimaichand S. Screening, identification of best producers and optimization of extracellular proteases from moderately halophilic alkalithermotolerant indigenous actinomycetes. World Appl Sci J. 2009;7(7):907-16.
24. Olajuyigbe FM, Ajele JO. Some properties of extracellular protease from *Bacillus licheniformis* LBBL-11 isolated from iru, a traditionally fermented African locust bean condiment. African Journal of Biochemistry Research. 2008 Oct 31;2(10):206-10.
25. Pant G, Prakash A, Pavani JV, Bera S, Deviram GV, Kumar A, Panchpuri M, Prasuna RG. Production, optimization and partial purification of protease from *Bacillus subtilis*. Journal of Taibah University for Science. 2015 Jan 1;9(1):50-5.
26. Pilli Radhika, Siddalingeshwara K G, Effect of fermentation kinetics for the biosynthesis of protease from *Aspergillus tamari*, Journal of Drug Delivery & Therapeutics. 2016; 6(3):115-118
27. Prakasham RS, Rao CS, Sarma PN. Green gram husk—an inexpensive substrate for alkaline protease production by *Bacillus* sp. in solid-state fermentation. Bioresource technology. 2006 Sep 1;97(13):1449-54.
28. Raj A, Khess N, Pujari N, Bhattacharya S, Das A, Rajan SS. Enhancement of protease production by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from dairy effluent sludge and determination of its fibrinolytic potential. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine. 2012 Jan 1;2(3):S1845-51.
29. Rajkumar R, Jayappriyan KR, Kannan PR, Rengasamy R. Optimization of Culture Conditions for the Production of Protease from *Bacillus megaterium*. Journal of Ecobiotechnology. 2010 Oct 11.
30. Rao MB, Tanksale AM, Ghatge MS, Deshpande VV. Molecular and biotechnological aspects of microbial proteases. Microbiology and molecular biology reviews. 1998 Sep 1;62(3):597-635.
31. Santong K, Chunglok W, Lertcanawanichakul M, Bangrak P. Screening and isolation of *Bacillus* sp. producing thermotolerant protease from raw milk. Walailak Journal of Science and Technology (WJST). 2011 Nov 13;5(2):151-60.
32. Seifzadeh S, Hassan Sajedi R, Sariri R. Isolation and characterization of thermophilic alkaline proteases resistant to sodium dodecyl sulfate and ethylene diaminetetraacetic acid from *Bacillus* sp. GUS1. Iranian Journal of Biotechnology. 2008 Oct 1;6(4):214-21.
33. Shanthakumari AR, Nagalakshmi R, Ramesh S. Scaleup and media optimization of protease by *Vibrio alginolyticus*. Journal of Ecobiotechnology. 2010 Jun 6.
34. Sharma AK, Sharma V, Saxena J, Yadav B, Alam A, Prakash A. Isolation and screening of extracellular protease enzyme from bacterial and fungal isolates of soil. Int. J. Sci. Res. Environ. Sci. 2015;3(9):0334-40.
35. Sharma KM, Kumar R, Panwar S, Kumar A. Microbial alkaline proteases: Optimization of production parameters and their properties. Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology. 2017 Jun 1;15(1):115-26.
36. Shivanand P, Jayaraman G. Production of extracellular protease from halotolerant bacterium, *Bacillus aquimaris* strain VITP4 isolated from Kumta coast. Process Biochemistry. 2009 Oct 1;44(10):1088-94.
37. Singh J, Vohra RM, Sahoo DK. Alkaline protease from a new obligate alkalophilic isolate of *Bacillus sphaericus*. Biotechnology letters. 1999 Oct 1;21(10):921-4.
38. Singh SK, Tripathi VR, Jain RK, Vikram S, Garg SK. An antibiotic, heavy metal resistant and halotolerant *Bacillus cereus* SIU1 and its thermoalkaline protease. Microbial cell factories. 2010 Dec;9(1):59.
39. Sreedevi B, Chandrasekhar K, Pramoda Kumari J. Optimization of Alkaline Protease Production Medium Components and Physical Parameters by *Bacillus cereus* Strain P5 Through Central Composite Design. Biotechnol Ind J. 2017;13(4):146